Oceans and the carbon cycle: What drives air-sea exchange of CO_2 ?

Exploring large datasets from the Ocean Observing Initiative

Nadia Pierrehumbert¹, Randal Reed^{2*}, Sage Lichtenwalner³, Catherine Halversen⁴, Anna Pfeiffer-Herbert⁵, Robert Rhew^{6*} ⁴ Lawrence Hall of Science, University of California, Berkeley. ⁵ Stockton University, Galloway, NJ. ⁶ Dept of Geography, University of California, Berkeley. * Presenters

¹ Illinois Mathematics and Science Academy, Aurora, IL. ² Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District, Redding, CA. ³ Marine and Coastal Sciences, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ.

SUMMARY <u>https://datalab.marine.rutgers.edu/explorations/2019/co2.php</u>

Here we present an online Data Exploration for students to investigate patterns and forcings that elucidate the exchange of CO₂ between the atmosphere and ocean. Central to this Data Exploration is an interactive, web-based tool ('widget') to examine the air-sea gas exchange of carbon dioxide in a large dataset, collected as part of the Ocean Observing Initiative (OOI) [Smith et al., 2018]. Students will access Pacific and Atlantic Ocean coastal array data, and they can explore the impact of temperature, surface wind speed, surface salinity, and chlorophyll concentrations on variations in air-sea CO₂ flux. This interactive tool is coupled with lesson plans that follow the learning cycle, from invitation to application, so that this site can be utilized flexibly in a range of educational settings.

LEARNING GOALS

After engaging with the Data Exploration a student will be able to:

- \bullet Identify periods of time during which the ocean is a source or a sink of CO₂
- Explore patterns in temperature, salinity, wind speed, and chlorophyll concentration data to identify causes of changing CO₂ concentration and flux
- + Temporal: Assign relative flux magnitudes across time within a site (as driven by
- seasonal temps, winds, primary production as discovered in #2).
- Spatial: Compare relative flux magnitudes between sites (cold west coast currents vs) warm east coast waters as discovered in #2).

USING OOI DATA

The abundance of data collection at the array sites offers both opportunity and challenge for educational purposes. The opportunity involves the ability for students to work with massive, free data sets of real oceanographic data to replicate authentic scientific inquiry and discovery. The challenge involves the difficulty in downloading, interpreting, conducting quality control, and developing user interfaces from these data sets so that students can readily engage with the actual processes underlying the data. The first two challenges involved selecting arrays and then choosing the sites within the arrays. We chose two of the 7 OOI arrays: the Coastal Endurance Array in the Pacific Ocean and the Coastal Pioneer Array in the Atlantic Ocean (Figs. 1 and 2) [OOI website].



Figure 2. Six Pacific Buoy site (Endurance Array, left) and three Atlantic Buoy sites (Pioneer Array, right) were considered.

Pacific Ocean: Coastal Endurance Array							2016								2017										2018										2019									
#	Code	Depth	Mooring	Data check	1	2	3	1 !	5	67	8	91	0 11 1	2 1	12	3	4	5	6	7	8 9	9 10) 11	12	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	91	0 1:	1 12	1	2	3	4	5	6	78	3 9) 1(
#1	CE01ISSM	25 m	Inshore (Ore)		inshe	ore	date	no	ot co	onsid	ered																	-				-												-
#2	CE02SHSM	80 m	Shelf (Ore)	2 usable yrs													T/S	mis	sing																no	CO	2/wi	nd ((u)/1	T/S				
#3	CE04OSSM	588 m	Offshore (Ore)	1 season																																								
#4	CE06ISSM	29 m	Inshore (Wash)		inshe	ore	date	no	ot co	onsid	ered																																	
#5	CE07SHSM	87 m	Shelf (Wash)	1 usable year																																					Te	est \	Wid	get
#6	CE09OSSM	542 m	Offshore (Wash)	multi-season																				Î																				
At	lantic Ocean:	Pionee	r Array						2	2016									201	7									1	2018	8										2019)		
#2	CP01CNSM	133 m	Central	multi-season			Τ,	/s n	niss	ing																		no (202,	/u/T	S					1.1 1.1								
#4	CCP03ISSM	92 m	Inshore	multi-season																																								
#7	CP04OSSM	450 m	Offshore	1 yr, 3 sprng																																_								

#1: CE01ISSM

Inshore Surfac

Mooring 25 m

Oregon Line

#2: CE02SHSM

Mooring 80 m

Shelf Surface

Figure 3. Buoy sites were selected based on the quality and availability of CO₂ flux data and relevant parameters. The first test was conducted on Endurance Site 5, but there was only 1 usable year of data (4/18 - 5/19) with a two month break in the middle. Hence 3 other sites were selected (highlighted in blue). The time period Januay 2016 to March 2018 were selected as having the most direct overlap for comparison.





9 10 11 12

INVITATION

The burning of fossil fuels since the Industrial Revolution has caused global atmospheric CO₂ concentrations to increase from 288 ppm to 411 ppm (as of November 2019, https://www.co2.earth/monthly-co2). This excess of greenhouse gases traps more heat at the earth's surface, leading to warming of the planet's surface. However, concentrations would have increased twice as much if all of the CO_2 emitted by human activities remained in the atmosphere. Where did all the extra CO₂ go? Where is this "missing carbon"? What process removed this extra CO₂ from the atmosphere? Will it continue to do so in the future?



The diagram shows the carbon cycle, with natural (preindustrial) fluxes indicated by black arrows and humaninfluenced fluxes (perturbations to the carbon cycle) with red arrows. Modern-day annual CO₂ emissions caused by Fossil Fuel Burning (7.8 Pg/yr) and Land Use Change (1.1 Pg/yr) are shown by red arrows to the atmosphere. What fraction of this accumulates in the atmosphere? Where does the rest of the CO_2 go? (Look for the red arrows going from the atmosphere).

Figure 4. Gobal carbon cycle (IPCC, 2013). Boxes represent reservoirs, numbers indicate reservoir mass in PgC (=10¹⁵ grams C) and annual fluxes (in Pg yr¹).

Overall the oceans are a large net "sink" for CO_2 . But how does the surface ocean take up atmospheric CO₂? Can it emit CO₂ as well (i.e., become a "source")? Look at the following 2 plots of the oceans from two different studies (25 years apart). Where is the ocean a source and where is it a sink? Can you find differences between the locations of sources and sinks between these two studies?



Figure 5. Map of oceanic CO₂ sources (emission from ocean) and sinks (uptake from atmosphere). Figure from Kump et al., The Earth System, 2nd ed, adapted from T. Takahashi, Oceanus 32, 1989, p 22-29.

EXPLORATION #1

(https://datalab.marine.rutgers.edu/explorations/2019/co2.php?level=exploration) The overall objective is for students to use atmospheric conditions and water properties to identify drivers of CO₂ flux between the atmosphere and ocean. Students will first compare atmospheric and oceanic pCO₂ plots from a Pacific site (Coastal Endurance array) to identify periods of time during which the ocean is a source or a sink of CO_2 , or is at equilibrium.



Figure 8. Second and third steps of exploration activity shows consecutive graphs of flux and environmental parameters. This test plot is from Washington line buoy #5 (87m depth, **CE07SHSM).** The final product will be from Oregon line buoy #2 (80m depth, CE02SHSM).



Figure 6. Map of oceanic CO_2 sources (red) and sinks (blue) from 1998 through 2011. Figure from Landschützer et al., 2014.



Figure 7. First step shows only atmospheric and oceanic pCO2 concentrations, omitting periods with instrumental issues. This test plot is from buoy #5 (CE07SHSM), final product will be from buoy #2 (**CE02SHSM**), which has a longer period of usable data.

 \rightarrow Clicking the "Next" button shows calculated fluxes using the pCO₂, wind, temperature, and salinity data. Students should share observations of patterns with partners. What evidence are they using to address the following questions:

* What do the y-axes represent in each graph? * Is the ocean here a source or sink of CO₂ overall? \rightarrow Clicking "Next" highlights positive and negative fluxes within the flux graph. Flux values can be qualitatively described with relative magnitudes using terms like *minor* (+/- 0.01 to 0.05), *moderate* (+/- 0.05 to 0.15) and *major* (+/- 0.15 and greater) flux values.

 \rightarrow Cllicking "Next" appends a graph capable of plotting four additional variables: sea surface temperature, surface salinity, wind speeds at the air-sea interface, and chlorophyll concentrations. Radio buttons allow the student to toggle between them to see how they vary with the flux and pCO₂ conditions. Students should seek correlations between these variables and flux magnitudes, potentially coupling one or more to any temporal relationships (seasonality) they discovered. Then ask what questions they might be able to answer with these data.

* Do the patterns they identify reveal something about the ocean-atmosphere interactions that their prior knowledge can help explain?

* Having studied the data, is there anything they are wondering about that they might not yet be able to explain? What more information do they want or need?

THE LAWRENCE

The exploration phase could be structured as a jigsaw activity. Small groups of students focus on one of the environmental variables and its connection or lack of connection to changes in CO₂ flux. In the second phase of the jigsaw, students would examine all of the environmental variables and evaluate their relative importance in driving the patterns in CO₂ flux. This approach may be especially successful in lower-level classes where students have less prior knowledge about fundamentals of oceanography and require more time to understand the basic meaning of the environmental variables.





Acknowledgment This project was initiated at the OOI Ocean Data Labs Development Workshop from July 22-26, 2019 in Pacific Grove, CA, facilitated by Christine Bean, Sage Lichtenwalner, Janice McDonnell, Anna Pfeiffer-Herbert and Dax Soule. Special thanks to them and all the faculty participants for enlightening feedback. The overarching project was made possible with the support of the National Science Foundation under Grant OCE-1831625. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of NSF.





CONCEPT INVENTION #1

Once exploration #1 is completed, students should be able to summarize a set of conditions and associated temporal relationships that influence CO₂ flux. This includes using conditions to explain positive and negative fluxes as well as why flux values vary from minor to major.

EXPLORATION #2

In the second part of this activity, students look at an east coast (Atlantic Ocean) site in the same way as in Part #1. The Coastal Pioneer array (New England) site #4 (CPO3ISSM) is at a nearly identical latitude and water depth, differing a bit in its distance from the shoreline. Geographic comparisons can be made in regards to CO₂ flux. Are flux conditions greater along one coast as compared to the other? What conditions might support such variation?

CONCEPT INVENTION #2

Students should now be able to compare and contrast CO₂ fluxes from the west coast and east coast shelf sites, specifically noting the magnitude of positive and negative flux differences between the two, and relating conditions that drive these differences across the two sites. Given the geographic positions of the two sites, they should also speculate about any influences not presented in the graph variables that might influence the flux differences.

APPLICATION

In the final portion of this Data Lab Exploration, students apply their developed conceptual understanding as they evaluate a third "mystery" site. Just as in Phase #1 and #2, students are presented with the same sets of graphs and variables, except from a "mystery" site at nearly the same latitude and anchored in water of the same depth. Are trends from the "mystery" site more similar to the west coast site (Exploration #1) or the east coast site (Exploration #2)? Does the "mystery" site show the same trends or do some features fall outside of the concepts that they developed across the first two explorations? At this point, it may be worth discussing the periods of missing data. What can happen to create missing data? Can you make reasonable assumptions about what the data would look like? What evidence do you have to support this, and what are the risks in making such assumptions?

REFLECTION

Although we often study separately the different pieces of the ocean system, this case study shows the interaction of the atmosphere, environmental conditions and water properties in relationship to pCO₂. As you tied together all of these pieces you learned something new about the ocean-atmosphere interactions.

* What concepts did you need to learn more about in order to figure out what air-sea interactions may affect pCO_2 , and explain how to predict when does the ocean gain CO_2 , when does it lose CO_2 , and when is it in equilibrium?

* What predictions can you make regarding the future of the oceans to continue taking up CO_2 ? Are there factors that might cause CO_2 flux to increase or decrease? In what direction?



Pierrehumbert, N., R. Reed, R. Rhew, and C. S. Lichtenwalner (2019). CO₂ Exchange Between Air and Sea. OOI Data Labs Collection.

REFERENCES

Ciais, P. et al., (2013) Carbon and Other Biogeochemical Cycles. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Cambridge University Press. Kump, L., J. Kasting, R. Crane (2004) The Earth System, 2nd ed., Pearson, New Jersey (Fig 8-13, p.161) Landschützer, R., N. Gruber, D. Bakker, U. Schuster (2014). Recent variability of the global ocean carbon sink, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 28, doi:10.1002/2014GB004853 Smith, L., Barth, Kelley, Plueddemann, Rodero, Ulses, Vardaro, Weller (2018) The Ocean Observatories Initiative, Oceanography 31 (1): 16-35, doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2018.105.

You can find the full collection of Data Explorations and other resources at: datalab.marine.rutgers.edu

